|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | November 6, 2024 | County | Douglas |
| Court | East Fork Justice Court | Judge | Paul Gilbert |
| Defense Attorney | Max Stovall | Prosecutor(s) | Jim Sibley  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 4 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Hearing Types | Pretrial Conferences | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Max appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Max appeared to be knowledgeable about his cases. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Max did a good job advocating for his clients during the court hearing. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continue on reverse):**   * 1 of Max’s cases was continued to 12/11/2024 for the parties to have additional time to try and reach a settlement agreement. This client is out-of-custody and had previously waived his right to a speedy preliminary hearing. * 1 of Max’s clients entered guilty plea, pursuant to negotiations, to an amended charge of Driving on a Sidewalk. The parties made a joint sentencing recommendation of a fine of $198 and attendance at a traffic safety course. The court followed the joint recommendation. The fine was taken from cash bail. The client | | | |

Remarks/Recommendations/Notes, continued:

submitted proof to the court that he has attended and completed a traffic safety course.

* 1 of Max’s clients entered a guilty plea, pursuant to negotiations, to an amended charge of Disorderly Conduct. The parties made a joint sentencing recommendation which included a 30 day suspended jail sentence with conditions, including restitution of $363.30 to the victim. The court followed the joint recommendation. The restitution was paid today.
* 1 of Max’s clients waived her right to a preliminary hearing in one case and entered a guilty plea to a misdemeanor Theft charge in a second case. The parties jointly recommended that the client be sentenced to 10 days jail with credit for 10 days time served on the misdemeanor charge. The parties further stipulated that the restitution for the Theft would be ordered in the District Court case. The client’s arraignment in District Court was set for 12/03/2024 at 9:00 a.m.