|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | December 16, 2024 | County | Elko |
| Court | Fourth Judicial District Court Dept 1 | Judge | Kriston Hill |
| Defense Attorney | Krishna Prasad | Prosecutor(s) | Ryan McCormick  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 1 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 1 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Arraignment | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Krishna did not appear for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  I was unable to form an opinion, although the client told the court that he was satisfied with his attorney and wants to keep him as his attorney. The client said that Krishna has done a great job for him. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  N/A. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good based on the client’s comments. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Krishna’s did not appear for the court hearing. Today was scheduled as the Arraignment. Krishna had filed with the court a Certificate of Service for the Guilty Plea Agreement but Krishna did not file the Guilty Plea Agreement.  Krishna’s client, in response to questions from the Judge, said that he was satisfied with the representation he was receiving from Krishna. The client stated that Krishna was doing a great job for him. The client wants to keep Krishna as his attorney.  The Court set an Order to Show Cause hearing for Krishna on 1/13/2025. | | | |