|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | October 21, 2024 | County | Douglas |
| Court | Ninth Judicial District Court – Dept II  Juvenile Court | Juvenile Judge | Thomas Gregory |
| Defense Attorney | Mary Brown | Prosecutor(s) | DDA James Sibley |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual - w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Juveniles Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Hearing Types | Disposition Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Mary appeared to be prepared for the hearing. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Mary appeared to be knowledgeable about her case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney/client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  disposition? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Juvenile Probation Report and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continue on reverse):**   * Mary’s client had a disposition. Mary presented argument in mitigation and requested that the client be given one more opportunity on probation prior to placement at a juvenile facility. The juvenile also spoke and requested one more opportunity at probation. The both of the juvenile’s parents, the juvenile probation officer and the deputy district attorney all requested that the juvenile be placed at the Western Nevada Regional Youth Center (WNRYC) for substance use treatment and behavioral counseling. The court ordered the juvenile be placed at WNRYC as a condition of probation. The court further ordered that the juvenile be placed into detention today pending his   **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continued from previous page)**  placement at WNRYC. The bed date at WNRYC is currently set for 11-06-2024. The court ordered that a review hearing be held following his successful completion of a juvenile residential program. | | | |