|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | October 28, 2024 | County | Douglas |
| Court | Ninth Judicial District Court – Dept 2 | Judge | Thomas Gregory |
| Defense Attorney | Raymond Areshenko | Prosecutor(s) | William Murphy  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | Not Present | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | Not Present | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Hearing Types | Arraignment | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Raymond did not appear for court today. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Unknown. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  N/A. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  Unknown. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continue on reverse):**   * According to Deputy District Attorney William Murphy, Raymond asked the DDA to stipulate to continue today’s hearing. The DDA agreed to continue the hearing. However, no stipulation to continue was filed with the Court, and the Court had not approved the continuance. | | | |

Remarks/Recommendations/Notes, continued:

* Neither Raymond or his client appeared for today’s court hearing.
* The court issued an Order to Show Cause for both Raymond and his client.
* The hearing was continued to 11/04/2024 at 8:30 a.m.