|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | October 10, 2024 | County | Elko |
| Court | Fourth Judicial District Court Dept 3 | Judge | Mason Simons |
| Defense Attorney | Thomas Gunter | Prosecutor(s) | Jeffrey Slade  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 client |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Hearing Types | Probation Violation Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Thomas appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Thomas appeared to be knowledgeable about his case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Thomas did a good job advocating for his client during the court hearing. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continue on reverse):**  Thomas’s client was on a deferred sentencing for a gross misdemeanor. The client admitted 4 of the 6 non-technical violation allegations (Reporting, Out of State Travel, Mental Health Treatment, Medical Treatment). The client denied 2 of the allegations (Residence and Laws). The State dismissed the 2 denied allegations. Both parties agreed to proceed to disposition. Both Thomas and the prosecutor recommended that the client be sentenced to 333 days in jail (with credit for time served of 333 days jail), restitution (and a civil judgment) for the cost of extradition ($157.72) and the $25 administrative assessment fee. The court followed the joint recommendation.  Remarks/Recommendations/Notes, continued: | | | |

Remarks/Recommendations/Notes, continued:

* One of Thomas’s clients