|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | December 16, 2024 | County | Elko |
| Court | Fourth Judicial District Court Dept 2 | Judge | Al Kacin |
| Defense Attorney | Julie Cavanaugh-Bill | Prosecutor(s) | Justin Barainca  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 (1 client with 2 cases) |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 0 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 1 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 1 |
| Hearing Types | Status Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Julie appeared to be prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Julie appeared to be knowledgeable about her case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Julie’s client previously pled No Contest in case number DCCR-24-16, pursuant to a Guilty Plea Agreement, to one count of Allowing a Child to be Present During the Course of a Felony. The client was represented by Jane Eberhardy at the time of entry of the No Contest plea. As part of the negotiations, case number DCCR-24-17 was to be dismissed if the client complied with the terms of the agreement. However, the client has allegedly committed violations of the agreement. Julie has taken over the case from Jane Eberhardy.  The client is currently in an inpatient treatment program and is appearing by Zoom from that program facility.  The client has also had a new case filed against her (Case number DCCR-3237). Attorney Brian Green represents the client in the new case.  The parties requested a continuance until January to see if they could negotiate a resolution of these cases and alleged violations.  The court granted the request for a continuance. The new court date will be set by Court Calendaring. | | | |