|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | October 21, 2024 | County | Douglas |
| Court | Ninth Judicial District Court – Dept 2 | Judge | Thomas Gregory |
| Defense Attorney | Ryan McPhee | Prosecutor(s) | Chelsea Mazza  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Hearing Types | Probation Violation Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Ryan appeared to be familiar with the case. However, Ryan had only recently learned that his client had been arrested in Las Vegas on unrelated charges. Consequently, Ryan had not had time to meet with his client in the Clark County Jail or to arrange for the client to appear in court this morning via Zoom. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Ryan appeared to be knowledgeable about his case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Ryan did a good job advocating for his client during the court hearing. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  Unknown. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continue on reverse):**   * Ryan’s client was on calendar for a probation violation hearing. However, the client had been arrested in Clark County on unrelated charges. Ryan was out-of-custody on the Douglas County Case. * The Ninth Judicial District Court issued a bench warrant for the client’s arrest based upon the new charges in Clark County and his failure to appear in court today. | | | |

Remarks/Recommendations/Notes, continued: