|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | December 10, 2024 | County | Humboldt |
| Court | Sixth Judicial District Court | Judge | Michael Montero |
| Defense Attorney | Massey Mayo | Prosecutor(s) | Aaron Russell and Stephen Girardot  Deputy District Attorneys |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 4 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 0 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 4 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 1 |
| Hearing Types | Arraignments and Pretrials | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Massey appeared to be prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Massey appeared to be knowledgeable about her cases. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**   * One of Massey’s clients pled guilty, pursuant to a Guilty Plea Agreement filed in open court today, to one count of Possession of a Controlled Substance, a category E felony. Both parties will jointly recommend to defer sentencing and participation in the Drug Court program. The client will need to obtain a substance use evaluation and apply to the Drug Court for admission. The Court set the Sentencing hearing for 2/4/2025 and ordered the preparation of a Presentence Investigation Report by Parole and Probation.   [Note: the GPA was presented to Massey by the DDA during the court session. Massey and her client went out into the hall to review and sign the GPA (the Attorney/Client room was being used by attorney Jeffrie Miller and his client at the time)].   * One of Massey’s clients is scheduled for jury trial on January 15-17, 2025. It is set as a second setting behind another case. The parties confirmed that they are both ready to proceed to trial. * One of Massey’s clients is scheduled for jury trial on January 7, 2025. It is a second setting behind another case. Massey moved to continue the trial. The State made a new settlement offer yesterday. The client needs more time to consider the offer. If the case does not resolve, Massey needs to file pretrial motions and needs more time to do so. The State and client have no objection to the continuance. The court granted the motion to continue. The case is set for a status hearing on 1/7/2025. * One of Massey’s clients failed to appear for the Violation of Bail Conditions hearing set for 9:00 a.m. and for the Arraignment set for 9:30 a.m. today. Massey had no explanation as to why the client was not present. The court issued bench warrants for Failure to Appear for both of today’s hearings. | | | |